

July 17, 2001
 Revised in January 16, 2003
 KEK-TH-769
 DAMTP-2001-50
 hep-th/0106114

Wess-Zumino term for the AdS superstring and generalized Inönü-Wigner contraction

Machiko Hatsuda and Makoto Sakaguchi ^{*,†}

*Theory Division, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),
 Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan*

*† Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
 Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, U.K.*

E-mail: mhatsuda@post.kek.jp, M.Sakaguchi@damtp.cam.ac.uk

Abstract

We examine a Wess-Zumino term, written in bilinear of superinvariant currents, for a superstring in anti-de Sitter (AdS) space. The standard Inönü-Wigner contraction does not give the correct flat limit but gives zero. This originates from the fact that the fermionic metric of the super-Poincaré group is degenerate. We propose a generalization of the Inönü-Wigner contraction which reduces the super-AdS group to the “nondegenerate” super-Poincaré group, therefore it gives a correct flat limit of this Wess-Zumino term. We also discuss the M-algebra obtained by this generalized Inönü-Wigner contraction from $osp(1|32)$.

PACS: 11.30.Pb; 11.25.Yb; 11.25.-w

Keywords: Wess-Zumino term; Superalgebra; Anti-de Sitter; group contraction

*Since October, 2001, Theory division, KEK, e-mail: Makoto.Sakaguchi@kek.jp

1 Introduction

There has been great interest in studying anti-de Sitter (AdS) superstring actions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] motivated by the AdS/CFT correspondence [6]. The superstring action contains the Wess-Zumino term [7] which is required by the κ -symmetry to match the number of dynamical degrees of freedom for bosons and fermions [8]. The conventional description of the Wess-Zumino term is used in [1, 2], and an alternative description of the Wess-Zumino term, written in bilinear of superinvariant currents, has been proposed for the AdS superstring theories [3, 5] and for an AdS superstring toy model [4]. The conventional description gives its flat limit straightforwardly as shown in [1], while the flat limit of the alternative description does not correspond to the simple group contraction. In flat space the Wess-Zumino term is given as an integral of the closed super-invariant three form in one dimension higher space [9],

$$S_{WZ} = \int d^3\sigma H_3 \quad , \quad H_3 = dB_2 \quad . \quad (1.1)$$

The local two form B_2 is not super-invariant but pseudo super-invariant. Its geometrical interpretation given by [10] is that H_3 is an element of the non-trivial class of the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology for the supertranslation group. It is also explained that B_2 can not be written as the bilinear of the left-invariant (LI) one-forms because of the degeneracy of the fermionic metric of the supertranslation group [11].

In contrast to the supertranslation group the super-AdS group contains a nondegenerate group metric, so B_2 can be written as the bilinear of the LI Cartan one-forms, L 's [3, 5]

$$S'_{WZ} = \int d^2\sigma B_2 \quad , \quad B_2 = g_{\alpha\beta} L^\alpha L^\beta . \quad (1.2)$$

In [25], the bilinear form Wess-Zumino term for the AdS superstring has been examined and it was shown that the flat limit of the Wess-Zumino term (1.2) for the AdS superstring is not obtained by the usual Inönü-Wigner (IW) contraction [13]. The IW contraction does not lead to the bilinear form Wess-Zumino term for a flat superstring, but leads to a total derivative term. We consider a group “contraction” such that it leads to the correct flat limit.

The IW contraction relates the super-AdS group and the super-Poincaré group as follows. The super-AdS algebra generated by $\mathcal{J}_{AB} = (J_{AB}, RP_A)$ and $\sqrt{R}Q$ is given symbolically as

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{J}_{AB}, \mathcal{J}_{CD}] &= 4\eta_{[D|[A}\mathcal{J}_{B]C]} \\ [Q_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_{CD}] &= -\frac{1}{2}(Q\Gamma_{CD})_\alpha \\ \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= i\eta^{AC}\eta^{BD}(C\Gamma_{AB})_{\alpha\beta}\frac{1}{R}\mathcal{J}_{CD} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where R is the scale parameter representing the radius of the AdS pseudosphere. For the

super-AdS group the Killing supermetric of fermions,¹

$$g_{Q_\alpha Q_\beta} = f^{\mathcal{J}}_{Q_\alpha Q} f^Q_{Q_\beta \mathcal{J}} - f^Q_{Q_\alpha \mathcal{J}} f^{\mathcal{J}}_{Q_\beta Q} = i \frac{D(D+1)}{R} C_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (1.4)$$

can be chosen as the nondegenerate fermionic metric to construct the bilinear form Wess-Zumino term (1.2), where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is an antisymmetric charge conjugation matrix. By the IW contraction we obtain the super-Poincaré algebra in $1/R \rightarrow 0$ limit

$$\begin{aligned} [P_A, P_B] &= 0 & , & \quad [J_{AB}, J_{CD}] = 4\eta_{[D][A} J_{B]C} \\ [\mathcal{Q}, P_A] &= 0 & , & \quad [P_A, J_{BC}] = 2\eta_{A[B} P_{C]} \\ \{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\beta\} &= 2i(C\gamma^A)_{\alpha\beta} P_A & , & \quad [\mathcal{Q}, J_{AB}] = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}\gamma_{AB} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The Killing supermetric for the super-Poincaré group vanishes

$$g_{Q_\alpha Q_\beta} = 0 \quad , \quad (1.6)$$

so B_2 can not be written in the bilinear of the LI one-forms in flat space.

It has been shown that even in a flat space the bilinear form Wess-Zumino term can be constructed from the nondegenerate supertranslation group which contains a fermionic center [11, 12]:

$$\begin{aligned} [P_A, P_B] &= 0 \\ [Q_\alpha, P_A] &= -\frac{\lambda}{2}(Z\gamma_A)_\alpha \\ \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= 2i(C\gamma^A)_{\alpha\beta} P_A \\ [Z_\alpha, P_A] &= [Z_\alpha, Q_\beta] = 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where λ is a scale parameter. The nondegenerate group metric can be imposed

$$g_{Q_\alpha Z_\beta} = -i\frac{2}{\lambda}C_{\alpha\beta} \quad (1.8)$$

which is not the Killing supermetric, but the invariant group metric with totally antisymmetric structure constants². The nondegenerate supertranslation group has been applied to various p -brane systems and the $(p+1)$ -form Wess-Zumino terms are used to analyze these systems [4, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Now the question becomes what type of

¹The Killing supermetric is given by

$$[T_M, T_N] = T_K f^K_{MN} \quad , \quad g_{MN} = f^K_{ML} f^L_{NK} (-)^K \quad ,$$

where $(-)^K = +1(-1)$ if T_K is an even (odd) generator.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Q_\alpha Q_\beta P_A} &= \text{Tr}(\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} P_A) = \text{Tr}([P_A, Q_\alpha] Q_\beta) = f_{P_A Q_\alpha Q_\beta} = i(C\gamma_A)_{\alpha\beta} \\ \text{Tr}(P_A P_B) &= g_{P_A P_B} = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{AB} \\ \text{Tr}(Q_\alpha Z_\beta) &= g_{Q_\alpha Z_\beta} = -i\frac{2}{\lambda}C_{\alpha\beta} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

group “contraction” gives the nondegenerate super-Poincaré group from the super-AdS group?

The existence of a scale parameter, R in (1.3) and (1.4) and λ in (1.7) and (1.8), allows one to have a nondegenerate metric. For the usual IW contraction one takes a limit where such parameter dependence is completely washed out, as $1/R \rightarrow 0$. As a result the fermionic metric becomes degenerate. In order to have the bilinear Wess-Zumino term in a flat limit, equivalently in order to get the nondegenerate super-translation group in a flat limit, we need a more general IW contraction which keeps some parameter dependence. We present a generalization of the IW contraction that relates the super-AdS group and the nondegenerate super-Poincaré group by truncating at a finite order of the parameter expansion of the LI one-forms.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we propose a generalization of the IW contraction. At first we take $SO(3)$ group as a simple example, and then give an interpretation of the generalized IW contraction. Next we apply this procedure to the super-AdS group, and we show that this generalized IW contraction gives the nondegenerate super-Poincaré group as well as the usual super-Poincaré group. In section 3, we discuss the M-algebra [15] corresponding to an algebra obtained by this generalized IW contraction from $osp(1|32)$. The last section devoted to a summary and discussions.

The original version of this paper, posted on July 17, 2001, includes an analysis of the AdS superstring, which has been published separately in [25]. In this revised version, we focus on a generalization of the IW contraction, which has been discussed further in [26].

2 Generalized Inönü-Wigner contraction

It was shown [25] that the flat limit of the bilinear form Wess-Zumino term must be taken in such a way that one keeps not only leading terms but also next to leading terms of Cartan one-forms in the $1/R$ expansion. The usual IW contraction reduces the super-AdS algebra to the super-Poincaré algebra and keeps only leading terms, therefore the flat limit action becomes the total derivative part. In order to keep the next to leading term, we need to enlarge the “contraction” procedure. In this section we will consider a generalization of the IW contraction in such a way that it keeps the next to leading term and it also includes the original IW contraction. At first we take a simple example, $SO(3)$ which is reduced to $ISO(2)$ with a center by the generalization of the IW contraction. Next we will show that the super-AdS group is reduced to the “nondegenerate” super-Poincaré group by the generalization.

2.1 Generalized contraction of SO(3)

We begin with SO(3) as the simple example of a generalization of the IW contraction. Generators J_I , $I = (x, y, z)$ satisfy

$$[J_I, J_J] = \epsilon_{IJK} J_K \quad . \quad (2.1)$$

Parameterizing a group element as $g = e^{J_I \phi^I}$ gives Cartan one-forms

$$L^I = d\phi^I + \frac{1}{2}d\phi^J \phi^K \epsilon^{IJK} + \frac{1}{3!}d\phi^J (\phi^I \phi^J - \delta^{IJ} \phi^2) - \frac{1}{4!}d\phi^J \phi^K \epsilon^{IJK} \phi^2 + \dots \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

defined by $g^{-1}dg = J_I L^I$ and satisfying the Maurer-Cartan (MC) equation, $dL^I = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJK} L^J L^K$. The conventional IW contraction requires rescaling of generators

$$J_x \rightarrow \frac{1}{s} J_x \quad , \quad J_y \rightarrow \frac{1}{s} J_y \quad , \quad J_z \rightarrow J_z \quad , \quad (2.3)$$

and taking the limit $s \rightarrow 0$. This corresponds to rescaling parameters,

$$\phi^x \rightarrow s\phi^x \quad , \quad \phi^y \rightarrow s\phi^y \quad , \quad \phi^z \rightarrow \phi^z \quad , \quad (2.4)$$

and L^I 's, $L^x \rightarrow sL^x$, $L^y \rightarrow sL^y$, $L^z \rightarrow L^z$, and then taking the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit. This procedure is equivalent to keeping only leading terms of L^I 's in s -expansion.

Now, before taking the limit, we consider the scaling (2.4) for ϕ^I 's which implies the following expansion of Cartan one-forms L^I 's

$$\begin{aligned} L^x &= sL_1^x + s^3L_3^x + \dots = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} s^{2i+1} L_{2i+1}^x \\ L^y &= sL_1^y + s^3L_3^y + \dots = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} s^{2i+1} L_{2i+1}^y \\ L^z &= L_0^z + s^2L_2^z + \dots = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{2j} L_{2j}^z \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^x &= d\phi^x + \frac{1}{2}(d\phi^y \phi^z - d\phi^z \phi^y) + \dots, \quad \dots \\ L_1^y &= d\phi^y + \frac{1}{2}(d\phi^z \phi^x - d\phi^x \phi^z) + \dots, \quad \dots \\ L_0^z &= d\phi^z \quad , \\ L_2^z &= \frac{1}{2}(d\phi^x \phi^y - d\phi^y \phi^x) + \frac{1}{3!}\{(d\phi^x \phi^z - d\phi^z \phi^x)\phi^x + (d\phi^y \phi^z - d\phi^z \phi^y)\phi^y\} + \dots, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

MC equations are satisfied in order by order

$$dL_M^I = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJK} L_N^J L_{M-N}^K \quad (2.7)$$

or equivalently

$$dL_0^z = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$dL_1^x = L_1^y L_0^z \quad (2.9)$$

$$dL_1^y = L_0^z L_1^x \quad (2.10)$$

$$dL_2^z = L_1^x L_1^y \quad (2.11)$$

$$\dots$$

The conventional IW contraction keeps only MC equations (2.8)-(2.10) for L_1^x, L_1^y, L_0^z , and corresponding algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{x,1}, J_{y,1}] &= 0 \\ [J_{y,1}, J_{z,0}] &= J_{x,1} \\ [J_{z,0}, J_{x,1}] &= J_{y,1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

This is the inhomogeneous SO(2); the rotation around the z -axis on the x - y plain remains, but rotations around the x -axis and y -axis are restricted to infinitesimal values and turn out to be translations in the y and x directions.

Next let us consider alternative limit in such a way that not only leading terms, L_1^x, L_1^y, L_0^z , but also the next to leading term, L_2^z , are kept. Then survived MC equations are (2.8)-(2.11), and corresponding algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{x,1}, J_{y,1}] &= J_{z,2} \\ [J_{y,1}, J_{z,0}] &= J_{x,1} \\ [J_{z,0}, J_{x,1}] &= J_{y,1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The new generator, $J_{z,2}$, is a central term. This is an intermediate form between the three-dimensional rotation on a two-dimensional sphere and the rotation of the z -axis on a x - y flat plane. Therefore this generalization brings the rotation of the z -axis on the x - y plane which has a infinitesimally small curvature. Further generalization is possible as long as the MC equations are consistently maintained.

2.2 Generalized contraction of super-AdS

Now let us go back to the problem of the Wess-Zumino term for a AdS superstring. The usual IW contraction reduces the super-AdS group (1.3) to the super-Poincaré group (1.5). The MC equations for the super-AdS group³ are

$$\begin{aligned} DL^{\alpha I} &= \epsilon^{IJ} \frac{i}{2} (\Psi L^J)^{\alpha} \\ D\mathbf{L}^A &= i\bar{L}\gamma^A L \\ D\mathbf{L}^{AB} &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L}^A\mathbf{L}^B - \frac{1}{2}\bar{L}^I\gamma^{AB}\epsilon^{IJ}L^J \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

³We follow the notation used in [1]; $A = (a, a')$ ($a = 0, 1, \dots, 4$, $a' = 5, 6, \dots, 9$), $\alpha = \alpha'\alpha''$ ($\alpha' = 1, \dots, 4$, $\alpha'' = 1, \dots, 4$), and $I = 1, 2$.

where covariant derivative D includes the Lorentz rotation. They are reduced under the IW contraction to those for the super-Poincaré algebra ⁴

$$\begin{aligned} DL^{\alpha I} &= 0 \\ D\mathbf{L}^A &= i\bar{L}\gamma^A L \\ D\mathbf{L}^{AB} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The procedure for keeping the next to leading term of Cartan one-forms is as follows. We expand the Cartan one-forms in $s = 1/R$ as

$$\mathbf{L}^A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} s^i \mathbf{L}_i^A, \quad \mathbf{L}^{AB} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} s^j \Omega_j^{AB}, \quad L^{I\alpha} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} s^{k+1/2} L_{k+1/2}^{I\alpha} \quad (2.16)$$

and then the MC equations are satisfied in each order of R

$$D\Omega_0^{AB} = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

$$DL_{1/2}^{I\alpha} = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

$$D\mathbf{L}_1^A = i\bar{L}_{1/2}^I \gamma^A L_{1/2}^I \quad (2.19)$$

$$D\Omega_1^{AB} = -i\bar{L}_{1/2}^I \epsilon_{IJ} \gamma^{AB} L_{1/2}^J \quad (2.20)$$

$$DL_{3/2}^{I\alpha} = \epsilon^{IJ} \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{I}_1 L_{1/2}^J \quad (2.21)$$

$\vdots$

where D includes Lorentz rotation. The equations (2.17)-(2.19) correspond to the super-Poincaré algebra (2.15), in other words, leading terms of this expansion correspond to the usual IW contraction.

Now we generalize the IW contraction as follows. For an expansion parameter s which is finite before contraction, we expand an element and subtract the redundant part,

$$\begin{aligned} T(s) &= \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n T_n \\ \rightarrow T(s) &= T(s) - \int_0^s d^{N+1} s' \left(\frac{d}{ds'} \right)^{N+1} T(s') \\ &= T_0 + sT_1 + \cdots + s^N T_N \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

The Cartan one-forms (2.16) are now subtracted,

$$\mathbf{L}^{AB} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^N s^j \Omega_j^{AB} = \mathbf{L}^{AB} - \int_0^s d^{N+1} s \partial_s^{N+1} \mathbf{L}^{AB},$$

⁴Although the Cartan one-form for the Lorentz generator vanishes for the super-Poincaré algebra, we include it in order to clarify the commutation relations of the corresponding superalgebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^A &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^M s^i \mathbf{L}_i^A = \mathbf{L}^A - \int_0^s d^{M+1} s \partial_s^{M+1} \mathbf{L}^A, \\
L^\alpha &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^P s^{k+1/2} L_{k+1/2}^\alpha = L^\alpha - s^{-1/2} \int_0^s d^{P+1} s \partial_s^{P+1} (s^{1/2} L^\alpha) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Taking $(N, M, P + \frac{1}{2})$ to be $(1, 1, \frac{3}{2})$ gives LI Cartan one-forms $(\mathbf{\Omega}_0, \mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{L}_1, L_{1/2}, L_{3/2})$ satisfying (2.17)-(2.21). This procedure can give the correct flat limit of the AdS superstring. In this subsection we focus on a case $N = 1, M = 1, P = 1$, while more general cases will be discussed in the next subsection.

Let us translate the MC equations (2.17)-(2.21) into (anti)commutation relations of nondegenerate algebra. If we expand the LI Cartan one-form introducing generators as ⁵

$$G^{-1}dG = L_{1/2}Q_{1/2} + L_{3/2}Q_{3/2} + \mathbf{L}_1P_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1J_1, \tag{2.24}$$

MC equations (2.17)-(2.21) turn out to be the nondegenerate superalgebra on $\mathbb{E}^{1,4} \times \mathbb{E}^5$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_{I,1/2}, Q_{J,1/2}\} &= -2i\delta_{IJ}(C\gamma^A)P_{A,1} + \epsilon_{IJ}(C\gamma^{AB})J_{AB,1} \\
[Q_{I,1/2}, P_{A,1}] &= \frac{i}{2}Q_{J,3/2}\gamma_A\epsilon_{JI} \\
[Q_{I,1/2}, J_{AB,1}] &= -\frac{1}{2}Q_{I,3/2}\gamma_{AB} \\
\text{others} &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

where the Jacobi identity of three Q 's requires a tensor central charge which can be always taken as a quotient subgroup. We have obtained the nondegenerate superalgebra from super-AdS algebra using generalized IW contraction. This algebra can be rewritten in 10-dimensional spinor representation⁶ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_{I,1/2}, Q_{J,1/2}\} &= -2i\delta_{IJ}(C\gamma^A)P_{A,1} + (\tau_3)_{IJ}(C\gamma^A)\Sigma_{A,1} \\
[Q_{1,1/2}, (P_{A,1} + \Sigma_{A,1})] &= \frac{i}{2}Q_{1,3/2}\gamma_A \\
[Q_{2,1/2}, (P_{A,1} - \Sigma_{A,1})] &= \frac{i}{2}Q_{2,3/2}\gamma_A \\
\text{others} &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

⁵We omit the Lorentz generator here. This implies that the commutation relations corresponding to Lorentz transformations are omitted for simplicity below.

⁶In the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have obtained superalgebras on $\mathbb{E}^{1,4} \times \mathbb{E}^5$. In order to obtain $\mathbb{E}^{1,9}$ superalgebra, we must recover full generators of the ten-dimensional covariance. This is associated with the replacement of the $\mathbb{E}^{1,4} \times \mathbb{E}^5$ Fierz identity in the Metsaev-Tseytlin notation

$$(\gamma_A)^{I\alpha}{}_{(J\beta}(C\gamma^A)_{K\gamma}{}_{L\delta}) + \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon\gamma_{AB})^{I\alpha}{}_{(J\beta}(\epsilon C\gamma^{AB})_{K\gamma}{}_{L\delta}) = 0 \tag{2.26}$$

with the $\mathbb{E}^{1,9}$ Fierz identity

$$(\delta\gamma_A)^{I\alpha}{}_{(J\beta}(\delta\gamma^A)_{K\gamma}{}_{L\delta}) + (\tau_3\gamma_A)^{I\alpha}{}_{(J\beta}(\tau_3\gamma^A)_{K\gamma}{}_{L\delta}) = 0. \tag{2.27}$$

In this particular case, J_1 is replaced with the F-string charge (Σ_A) in the algebra.

where the following cyclic identity

$$(C\gamma_A\delta)_{(J\beta)}^{\alpha}(C\gamma^A\delta)_{K\gamma\ L\delta}) + (C\gamma_A\tau_3)_{(J\beta)}^{\alpha}(C\gamma^A\tau_3)_{K\gamma\ L\delta}) = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

is used and the center Σ_A can be taken as a quotient subgroup. Of course it is necessary to check the cyclic identity. This procedure may correspond to the similarity transformation in the original IW contraction which is required for the consistency.

There are two manners for realizing these algebras:

(i) A simple example of realization of the algebra (2.28) is that for an open superstring as discussed in [20],

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1/2} &= \int [\zeta - i\bar{\theta}(\not{p}' + T\tau_3\not{X}') - \frac{iT}{6}(\bar{\theta}\gamma\tau_3\theta' \cdot \bar{\theta}\gamma + \bar{\theta}\gamma\theta' \cdot \bar{\theta}\gamma\tau_3)] \\ Q_{3/2} &= \int T\bar{\theta}' \\ P_1 &= \int p \ , \ \Sigma = T \int X' \ . \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

The system is described by only (X^A, p_A) and $(\theta^\alpha, \zeta_\alpha)$ ⁷. This realization can be viewed as a description of the nondegenerate group manifold in terms of the AdS superspace.

(ii) Another way is to introduce canonical coordinates $(\xi^\alpha, \Pi_{\xi,\alpha})$ and (y^A, p_Y^A) corresponding to $Q_{\alpha,3/2}$ and Σ_A adding to the original variables. This is the case in our previous work [4, 21]. The canonical generators are expressed as

$$Q_{1/2} = \int [\zeta - i\bar{\theta}(\not{p}' + \not{p}'_Y\tau_3) - \Pi_\xi(\not{X} + \not{Y}\tau_3) - \frac{i}{3}(\Pi_\xi\gamma\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\gamma + \Pi_\xi\gamma\tau_3\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\gamma\tau_3)]$$

⁷The two-form doublet B are written in terms of Cartan one-forms as [16, 17, 19]

$$\begin{aligned} B_{NS} &\equiv \bar{L}_{1/2}\sigma_3 L_{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{NS,1}\mathbf{L}_1, & dB_{NS} &= i\mathbf{L}_1(\bar{L}_{1/2}\sigma_3\gamma L_{1/2}) = H_{NS}, \\ B_{RR} &\equiv \bar{L}_{1/2}\sigma_1 L_{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{RR,1}\mathbf{L}_1, & dB_{RR} &= i\mathbf{L}_1(\bar{L}_{1/2}\sigma_1\gamma L_{1/2}) = H_{RR}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Parameterizing a group element as $G = e^{x^A P_A} e^{\theta Q_{1/2}}$, Cartan one-forms are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1}dG &= \mathbf{L}^A P_A + \Omega^A \Sigma_A + L_{1/2} Q_{1/2} + L_{3/2} Q_{3/2}, \\ \mathbf{L}^A &= dx^A - i(\bar{\theta}\gamma^A d\theta), \\ \Omega_{NS}^A &= -i(\bar{\theta}\sigma_3\gamma^A d\theta), \\ \Omega_{RR}^A &= -i(\bar{\theta}\sigma_1\gamma^A d\theta), \\ L_{1/2} &= d\theta, \\ L_{3/2} &= -\frac{i}{2}dx^A(\gamma_A\theta)^\alpha - \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\theta}\gamma d\theta)(\gamma\theta)^\alpha - \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\theta}\sigma_3\gamma d\theta)(\sigma_3\gamma\theta)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and $B = B_{NS}$ is expressed in terms of (x, θ)

$$B = -idx(\bar{\theta}\sigma_3\gamma d\theta) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\gamma d\theta)(\bar{\theta}\sigma_3\gamma d\theta).$$

This agrees with the two-form B obtained in (2.26). For RR two-form, σ_3 in (2.32) is replaced by σ_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{3/2} &= \int \Pi_\xi \\
P_1 &= \int p \quad , \quad \Sigma = \int p_Y
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

satisfying (2.28).

The algebra (2.28) gives the group metric such that the metric in fermionic coordinates becomes nondegenerate, $g_{Q_{1/2}Q_{3/2}} = \text{tr}(Q_{1/2}Q_{3/2}) \neq 0$. The nondegenerate group manifold is obtained by the generalized IW contraction from the AdS superspace in these ways.

2.3 Sequence of the generalized IW contracted algebras

Now let us consider the general integer N, M, P case in (2.23). A set of generators with the highest dimension $(N, M, P + 1/2)$ form an algebra $\mathcal{G}(N, M, P + 1/2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
D\Omega_j^{AB} &= -\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}_l^A \mathbf{L}_{j-l}^B - \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{l+1/2}^I \gamma^{AB} \epsilon^{IJ} L_{j-l-1/2}^J \quad , \quad j = 0, \dots, N \quad , \\
D\mathbf{L}_i^A &= \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} i \bar{L}_{l+1/2}^I \gamma^A L_{i-l-1/2}^I \quad , \quad i = 1, \dots, M \quad , \\
DL_{k+1/2}^{\alpha I} &= \sum_{l=1}^k \epsilon^{IJ} \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{L}_l^A (\gamma_A L_{k-l+1/2}^\alpha)^\alpha \quad , \quad k = 0, \dots, P \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

with $N = M = P$, $N = M = P + 1$, $N = M + 1 = P + 1$ or $N + 1 = M = P + 1$. For example, $\mathcal{G}(1, 1, 3/2)$ is the nondegenerate superalgebra generated by $\{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \mathbf{L}_1, L_{1/2}, L_{3/2}\}$ discussed in the previous subsection. A generator with the highest dimension is a center of the algebra. In other words, this algebra is nilpotent. This implies a sequence of algebras

$$\mathcal{G}(0, 0, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(0, 1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \mathcal{G}(1, 0, \frac{1}{2}) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(1, 1, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(1, 1, \frac{3}{2}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$\mathcal{G}(0, 0, 0) = \{\Omega_0\}$: Lorentz algebra

$\mathcal{G}(0, 1, 0) = \{\Omega_0, \mathbf{L}_1\}$: Poincaré algebra

$\mathcal{G}(0, 1, 1/2) = \{\Omega_0, \mathbf{L}_1, L_{1/2}\}$: the (degenerate) super-Poincaré algebra

$\mathcal{G}(1, 1, 3/2) = \{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \mathbf{L}_1, L_{1/2}, L_{3/2}\}$: the nondegenerate super-Poincaré algebra

where an arrow indicates an central extension. $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$ is the original super-AdS algebra after suitable combination of generators.

As well as the nondegenerate supertranslation algebra case (2.25), there are two manners of describing group manifold of $\mathcal{G}(N, M, P + \frac{1}{2})$.

(i) The first one is to begin with a super-AdS group manifold and to regard $\mathcal{G}(N, M, P + \frac{1}{2})$ as a corresponding limit as we have seen above. In this case, the group manifold of

$\mathcal{G}(N, M, P + \frac{1}{2})$ is described by AdS supercoordinates (x, θ) dual to (P, Q) (after dividing by Lorentz group) with nontrivial boundary conditions.

(ii) The other one is to introduce a coordinate set dual to generators with dimension from 0 to k . The group manifold is parameterized by coordinates dual to them.

3 M-algebra and the contracted $\text{osp}(1|32)$

There is another interesting example of this generalized IW contraction. M-algebra [15] is an eleven dimensional superalgebra generated by generators of supertranslations $Q_M = (Q_\alpha, P_\mu)$, string charge Z_M , M2-brane charges Z_{MN} and M5-brane charges $Z_{M_1 \dots M_5}$, where M runs 11-dimensional vector indices and spinor indices $M = (\mu, \alpha)$. This algebra is too big enough to include the D=11 N=1 supertranslation algebra. In order to examine the structure of the M-algebra, we rather begin with $\text{osp}(1|32)$ algebra which is generated by 32 supercharges $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ and 528 $\text{sp}(32)$ generators $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 32$ in spinor indices. They satisfy the following algebra

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\beta\} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{M}_{\beta\gamma}] = \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{Q}_\gamma, \quad [\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{M}_{\gamma\delta}] = \Omega_{\beta\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\delta}. \quad (3.1)$$

We denote Cartan one-forms dual to $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$, $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}$ as Π^α and $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$, respectively and expand as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^\alpha &= \bigoplus_{n=0} \frac{1}{R^{(n+1/2)}} \Pi_{n+1/2}^\alpha \\ \Pi^{\alpha\beta} &= \bigoplus_{n=1} \frac{1}{R^n} \Pi_n^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Cartan one-forms and the dimensions are listed below.

generator	Q_α	$P_{\alpha\beta}$	Z_α	$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}$	$Q_\alpha^{(5/2)}$	$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)}$	$Q_\alpha^{(7/2)}$
dimension	1/2	1	3/2	2	5/2	3	7/2
Cartan one-form	Π^α	$\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$	$\Pi_{3/2}^\alpha$	$\Pi_2^{\alpha\beta}$	$\Pi_{5/2}^\alpha$	$\Pi_3^{\alpha\beta}$	$\Pi_{7/2}^\alpha$

A general expansion gives infinite number of generators, but we terminate this sequence at the suitable dimensions in order to focus on the M-algebra.

The MC equations for $\text{osp}(1|32)$ in each order of R are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} d\Pi^\alpha &= 0, \\ d\Pi^{\alpha\beta} &= \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta, \\ d\Pi_{3/2}^\alpha &= \Omega_{\beta\gamma} \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \Pi^\gamma, \\ d\Pi_2^{\alpha\beta} &= \Pi^\alpha \Pi_{3/2}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\gamma\delta} \Pi^{\gamma\alpha} \Pi^{\beta\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Pi_{5/2}^\alpha &= \Omega_{\beta\gamma}\Pi_2^{\alpha\beta}\Pi^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\beta\gamma}\Pi^{\alpha\beta}\Pi_{3/2}^\gamma, \\
d\Pi_3^{\alpha\beta} &= \Pi^\alpha\Pi_{5/2}^\beta + \Omega_{\gamma\delta}\Pi^{\gamma\alpha}\Pi_2^{\beta\delta} + \frac{1}{4}\Pi_{3/2}^\alpha\Pi_{3/2}^\beta, \\
d\Pi_{7/2}^\alpha &= \Omega_{\beta\gamma}\Pi_3^{\alpha\beta}\Pi^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\beta\gamma}\Pi^{\alpha\beta}\Pi_{5/2}^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\beta\gamma}\Pi_2^{\alpha\beta}\Pi_{3/2}^\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to the M-algebra proposed by Sezgin[15], if we relate our Cartan one-forms with his Cartan one-forms, e^M , e'_M , e_{MN} , $e_{M_1\dots M_5}$, which are dual to Q_M , Z_M , Z_{MN} and $Z_{M_1\dots M_5}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^\alpha &= e^\alpha \\
\Pi^{\alpha\beta} &= (\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}e'_\mu + (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta}e^\mu + (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}e_{\mu\nu} + (\gamma^{\mu_1\dots\mu_5})_{\alpha\beta}e_{\mu_1\dots\mu_5} \\
\Pi_{3/2}^\alpha &= e'_\alpha + (\gamma^\mu)_{\beta\alpha}e_{\mu\beta} + (\gamma^{\mu_1\dots\mu_4})_{\beta\alpha}e_{\mu_1\dots\mu_4\beta} \\
\Pi_2^{\alpha\beta} &= e_{\alpha\beta} + (C\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho})^\alpha_\gamma e_{\mu\nu\rho\gamma\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

etc., or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\alpha &= Q_\alpha \\
P_{\alpha\beta} &= (C\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu + (C\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta}Z^\mu + \frac{1}{2!}(C\gamma_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}Z^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{5!}(C\gamma_{\mu_1\dots\mu_5})_{\alpha\beta}Z^{\mu_1\dots\mu_5}, \\
Z_\alpha &= Z^\alpha + (\gamma_\mu)_{\beta\alpha}Z^{\mu\beta} + (\gamma_{\mu_1\dots\mu_4})_{\alpha\beta}Z^{\mu_1\dots\mu_4\beta} \\
M_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} &= Z^{\alpha\beta} + (C\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho})_{\alpha\gamma}Z^{\mu\nu\rho\gamma\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

etc. In Sezgin's M-algebra the auxiliary generators Z with spinor indices, $Z^{\mu\beta}$, $Z^{\alpha\beta}$ etc., correspond to the higher dimension generators, Z_α , $M_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}$ etc., in our algebra. Our reduced M-algebra is subalgebra of Sezgin's M-algebra; the number of bosonic generators and fermionic generators are $528 \times 3 = 1584$ and $32 \times 4 = 128$ for ours and 664147 ⁸ and 717088 ⁹ for Sezgin's M-algebra, respectively.

A set of generators with dimension less than k forms an algebra. We denote this as $\mathcal{G}(k)$. Then this reduced M-algebra is $\mathcal{G}(7/2)$. Generator with the highest dimension is a center of the algebra. This algebra contains algebras

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(3/2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(7/2) \\
&\mathcal{G}(1) : \text{super-translation algebra with maximal central extension} \\
&\mathcal{G}(3/2) : \text{nondegenerate algebra} \\
&\mathcal{G}(7/2) : \text{reduced M-algebra} .
\end{aligned}$$

One can extend the reduced M-algebra including a dimension 4 generator or a Cartan one-form $\Pi_4^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying the MC equation

$$d\Pi_4^{\alpha\beta} = \Pi^\alpha\Pi_{7/2}^\beta + \Omega_{\gamma\delta}\Pi^{\gamma\alpha}\Pi_3^{\beta\delta} + \frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3/2}^\alpha\Pi_{5/2}^\beta + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\gamma\delta}\Pi_2^{\gamma\alpha}\Pi_2^{\beta\delta}. \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&^8 \binom{11}{1} + \binom{11}{1} + \binom{11}{2} + \binom{32}{2}_{sym} + \binom{11}{5} + \binom{11}{3} \times \binom{32}{2}_{sym} + \binom{11}{1} \times \binom{32}{4}_{sym} = 664147. \\
&^9 \binom{32}{1} + \binom{32}{1} + \binom{32}{1} \times \binom{11}{1} + \binom{11}{4} \times \binom{32}{1} + \binom{11}{2} \times \binom{32}{3}_{sym} + \binom{32}{5}_{sym} = 717088.
\end{aligned}$$

The extended superalgebra $\mathcal{G}(4)$ is an extension of the reduced M-algebra.

$$\mathcal{G}(7/2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(4) \quad . \quad (3.7)$$

If it is possible to extend to a superalgebra $\mathcal{G}(7/2) \cdots \mathcal{G}(\infty)$ with suitable reducibility of generators analogous to (3.4), the asymptotic algebra is $\text{osp}(1|32)$.

4 Conclusions and discussions

We proposed a generalized IW contraction. While the original IW contraction restricts parameters contracted to infinitesimally small values and keeps leading terms in LI one-forms, this generalization relaxes this restriction in such a way that not only leading terms but also next to leading terms are kept: L_2^z for the $\text{SO}(3)$ case or $L_{3/2}^\alpha$ for the super-AdS case. A further extension was also considered where the guiding principle of extension is the MC equations expanded in parameter, (2.7) for the $\text{SO}(3)$ case or (2.33) for the super-AdS case. A suitable combination of generators should be considered for each contraction in order to satisfy the cyclic identity for supersymmetric theories, as well as the similarity transformation required even in the original IW contraction. This contraction keeps a part of the scale parameter information as expected. This is a kind of a central extension after performing the IW contraction. In this procedure the number of independent generators of $\mathcal{G}(N)$ becomes infinity at $N \rightarrow \infty$ which looks different from the number of the original algebra. However this is reasonable in the sense that an infinite number of nilpotent generators is required to represent the homogeneous algebra $\ni \mathbf{M}$

$$\overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}^N \quad \neq 0 \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^N = \mathbf{M} \quad . \quad (4.1)$$

Again a suitable finite number of combinations of the infinite number of generators is required. It is interesting that the Wess-Zumino term of the type (1.1) for a flat superstring is derived from homogeneous supergroup $\text{OSp}(1|32)$ by the usual IW contraction shown in the Chern-Simons supergravity context [23].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Michael B. Green for useful comments on the manuscript and Satoshi Iso for fruitful discussions. M.S. gratefully acknowledges support by Nishina Memorial Foundation.

References

- [1] R.R.Metsaev and A.A.Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B533** (1998) 109, hep-th/9805028.
- [2] R.Kallosh and J.Rahmfeld, Phys. Lett. **B443** (1998) 143, hep-th/9808038;
J.Rahmfeld and A.Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 64014, hep-th/9809164;
I.Pesando, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (1998) 002, hep-th/9808020; J. High Energy Phys. 02 (1999) 007, hep-th/9809145;
J.Park and S-J.Rey, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (1999) 001, hep-th/9812062;
J-G. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. **B559** (1999) 92, hep-th/9906013, etc.
- [3] N. Berkovits, M. Bershadsky, T. Hauer, S. Zhukov and B. Zwiebach, Nucl. Phys. **B567** (2000) 61, hep-th/9907200.
- [4] M.Hatsuda, K.Kamimura and M.Sakaguchi, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 105024, hep-th/0007009.
- [5] R.Roiban and W.Siegel, J. High Energy Phys. 0011 (2000) 024, hep-th/0010104.
- [6] L.Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200;
S.S.Gubser, I.R.Klebanov and A.M.Polyakov, Phys.Lett. **B248** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109;
E.Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [7] M.B.Green and J.H.Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B136** (1984) 367; Nucl. Phys. **B243** (1994) 285.
- [8] W.Siegel, Phys. Lett. **128B** (1983) 397.
- [9] M.Henneaux and L.Mezincescu, Phys. Lett. **152B** (1985) 340.
- [10] J.A.de Azcarraga and P.K.Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 2579.
- [11] M.B.Green, Phys. Lett. **B223** (1989) 157.
- [12] W.Siegel, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2799, hep-th/9403144.
- [13] E.Inönü and E.P.Wigner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **39** (1953) 510,
E.Inönü, in “Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics” ed. F.Gursey (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964).
- [14] E.Bergshoeff and E.Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B354** (1995) 256, hep-th/9504140.
- [15] E.Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B392** (1997) 323, hep-th/9609086.
- [16] M.Sakaguchi, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 046007, hep-th/9809113;
J. High Energy Phys. 02 (1999) 017, hep-th/9811143.
- [17] M.Abe, M.Hatsuda, K.Kamimura and T.Tokunaga, Nucl. Phys. **B553** (1999) 305, hep-th/9903234.
- [18] C.Chryssomalakos, J.A.de Azcarraga, J.M.Izquierdo, J.C.Perez Bueno, Nucl. Phys. **B567** (2000) 293, hep-th/9904137.
- [19] M.Sakaguchi, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2000) 019, hep-th/9909143.
- [20] M.Hatsuda and M.Sakaguchi, Nucl. Phys. **B577** (2000) 183, hep-th/0001214.

- [21] M.Hatsuda and M.Sakaguchi, Nucl. Phys. **B599** (2001) 185, hep-th/0010189.
- [22] J.A.de Azcarraga, J.M.Izquierdo and P.K.Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B267** (1991) 366.
- [23] H.Nishino and P.Mora, Phys. Lett. **B482** (2000) 222, hep-th/0002077.
- [24] D.Olive and E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B78** (1978) 97;
E.Witten. Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.
- [25] M. Hatsuda and M. Sakaguchi, “Wess-Zumino term for AdS superstring,” Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 045020, hep-th/0205092.
- [26] J.A. de Azcarraga, J.M. Izquierdo, M. Picon and O. Varela, “Generating Lie and gauge free differential (super)algebras by expanding Maurer-Cartan forms and Chern-Simons supergravity”, hep-th/0212347.